arXiv:1701.04074v1 [math.CV] 15 Jan 2017

A CLASS OF INTEGRAL OPERATORS OVER THE SIEGEL UPPER HALF-SPACE

CONGWEN LIU, YI LIU, PENGYAN HU, AND LIFANG ZHOU

Abstract. We determine exactly when a class of integral operators are bounded on weighted L^p spaces over the Siegel upper half-space.

1. Introduction

We fix a positive integer n throughout this paper and let $\mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{C}\times\cdots\times\mathbb{C}$ denote the n-dimensional complex Euclidean space. For any two points $z = (z_1, \cdots, z_n)$ and $w = (w_1, \cdots, w_n)$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$, we write

$$\langle z, w\rangle := z_1\bar{w}_1 + \cdots + z_n\bar{w}_n$$

and $|z| := \sqrt{\langle z, z\rangle}$. The open unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^n$ is the set

$$\mathbb{B} := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z| < 1\}.$$

For $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, we also use the notation

$$z = (z', z_n), \quad \text{where } z' = (z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \text{ and } z_n \in \mathbb{C}^1.$$

The Siegel upper half-space in $\mathbb{C}^n$ is the set

$$\mathcal{U} := \left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \operatorname{Im} z_n > |z'|^2\right\}.$$

It is biholomorphically equivalent to the unit ball $\mathbb{B}$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$, via the Cayley transform $\Phi : \mathbb{B}_n \to \mathcal{U}^n$ given by

$$(z', z_n) \longmapsto \left(\frac{z'}{1+z_n}, i\frac{1-z_n}{1+z_n}\right),$$

and so it is also referred to as the unbounded realization of the unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^n$.

We denote by dV the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}^n$. For real parameter α, we define

$$dV_\alpha(z) := \boldsymbol{\rho}(z)^\alpha dV(z).$$

As usual, for $p > 0$, the space $L^p(\mathcal{U}, dV_\alpha)$ consists of all Lebesgue measurable functions f on $\mathcal{U}$ for which

$$\|f\|_{p,\alpha} := \left\{\int_{\mathcal{U}} |f(z)|^p dV_\alpha(z)\right\}^{1/p}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32A35, 47G10; Secondary 32A26, 30E20.

Key words and phrases. Siegel upper half-space; Bergman type operators; weighted L^p spaces; boundedness.

The first author was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China grants 11571333, 11471301; the fourth author was supported by Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang province grant (No. LQ13A010005), the Scientific Research and Teachers project of Huzhou University(No. RP21028) and partially by the National Natural Science Foundation of China grant 11571105.

is finite,

For any real parameters a, b, and c, we consider two integral operators as follows.

$$T_{a,b,c}f(z) := \boldsymbol{\rho}(z)^a \int_{\mathcal{U}} \frac{\boldsymbol{\rho}(w)^b}{\boldsymbol{\rho}(z,w)^c} f(w)dV(w)$$

and

$$S_{a,b,c}f(z) := \boldsymbol{\rho}(z)^a \int_{\mathcal{U}} \frac{\boldsymbol{\rho}(w)^b}{|\boldsymbol{\rho}(z,w)|^c} f(w)dV(w),$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}(z,w) \;:=\; \frac{i}{2}(\bar{w}_n - z_n) - \langle z', w'\rangle.$$

and $\boldsymbol{\rho}(z) := \boldsymbol{\rho}(z,z) = \operatorname{Im} z_n - |z'|^2$. Note that the Bergman projection P on $\mathcal{U}$ is given by

$$Pf(z) = \frac{n!}{4\pi^n} \int_{\mathcal{U}} \frac{f(w)}{\boldsymbol{\rho}(z,w)^{n+1}} dV(w) = \frac{n!}{4\pi^n}\, T_{0,0,n+1}f(z), \quad z \in \mathcal{U}.$$

The purpose of this paper is to study the action of these operators on the spaces $L^p(\mathcal{U}, dV_\alpha)$. More precisely, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for the boundedness of the operators $S_{a,b,c}$ and $T_{a,b,c}$ on $L^p(\mathcal{U}, dV_\alpha)$ in terms of parameters a, b, c, and α.

Our main result is the following

Theorem 1. *Suppose $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \le p \le \infty$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *The operator $T = T_{a,b,c}$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathcal{U}, dV_\alpha)$.*
(ii) *The operator $S = S_{a,b,c}$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathcal{U}, dV_\alpha)$.*
(iii) *The parameters satisfy the conditions*

$$-pa < \alpha + 1 < p(b+1) \quad \text{and} \quad c = n + 1 + a + b.$$

This is the exact counterpart of the main result of [5], where a similar class of integral operators on the unit ball was considered. It is interesting that, unlike the unit ball case in [5], both $T_{a,b,c}$ and $S_{a,b,c}$ are unbounded whenever $c \neq n+1+a+b$. This is due to the fact the Siegel upper half-space is not being bounded.

The proof follows the same main lines as in [5]. However, the computations here are more subtle. For instance, in the proof of the necessity for the boundedness of $T_{a,b,c}$, we cannot simply choose polynomials to serve as test functions as in [5], since polynomials do not belong to $L^p(\mathcal{U}, dV_\alpha)$. Instead, we consider the functions of the form $\boldsymbol{\rho}(z)^t/\boldsymbol{\rho}(z,w)^s$, with appropriate choices of the parameters involved. This leads to more complicated calculations than those arising in the unit ball setting. Hence, an essential role is played by the following lemma, which might be of independent interest.

Key Lemma. *Suppose that r, $s > 0$, $t > -1$ and $r + s - t > n + 1$. Then*

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}} \frac{\boldsymbol{\rho}(w)^t}{\boldsymbol{\rho}(z,w)^r \boldsymbol{\rho}(w,u)^s} dV(w) \;=\; \frac{C_1(r,s,t)}{\boldsymbol{\rho}(z,u)^{r+s-t-n-1}} \tag{1}$$

holds for all $z, u \in \mathcal{U}$, where

$$C_1(r,s,t) \;:=\; \frac{4\pi^n \Gamma(1+t)\Gamma(r+s-t-n-1)}{\Gamma(r)\Gamma(s)}. \tag{2}$$

The formula (1), with implicit constant $C_1(r,s,t)$, is not new; it is a special case of [1, Lemma 2.2']. Our main contribution here is to find the explicit expression (2) of $C_1(r,s,t)$, which is crucial for the proof of our main result.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we recall some basic materials about Möbius transformations and the Cayley transform. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Key Lemma. Our main result, Theorem 1 will be proved in Sections 4. Finally, in Section 5, two examples are given to illustrate the use of Theorem 1.

2. Preliminaries

We begin by recalling that the Cayley transform $\Phi : \mathbb{B} \to \mathcal{U}$ is given by

$$(z', z_n) \longmapsto \left(\frac{z'}{1+z_n}, i\left(\frac{1-z_n}{1+z_n}\right)\right).$$

It is easy to check that the identity

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}(\Phi(\eta), \Phi(\xi)) = \frac{1-\langle \eta, \xi\rangle}{(1+\eta_n)(1+\overline{\xi}_n)} \tag{3}$$

holds for all $\eta, \xi \in \mathbb{B}$, and the real Jacobian of Φ at $\xi \in \mathbb{B}$ is

$$(J_R\Phi)(\xi) = \frac{4}{|1+\xi_n|^{2(n+1)}}. \tag{4}$$

The group of all one-to-one holomorphic mappings of $\mathbb{B}$ onto $\mathbb{B}$ (the so-called automorphisms of $\mathbb{B}$) will be denoted by $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{B})$. It is generated by the unitary transformations on $\mathbb{C}^n$ along with the Möbius transformations φ_η given by

$$\varphi_\eta(\xi) := \frac{\eta - P_\eta\xi - (1-|\eta|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} Q_\eta\xi}{1-\langle \xi, \eta\rangle},$$

where $\eta \in \mathbb{B}$, P_η is the orthogonal projection onto the space spanned by η, and $Q_\eta\xi = \xi - P_\eta\xi$.

It is easily shown that the mapping φ_η satisfies

$$\varphi_\eta(0) = \eta, \quad \varphi_\eta(\eta) = 0, \quad \varphi_\eta(\varphi_\eta(\xi)) = \xi.$$

Furthermore, for all $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{B}$,

$$1 - \langle \varphi_\eta(\xi), \varphi_\eta(\zeta)\rangle = \frac{(1-|\eta|^2)(1-\langle \xi, \zeta\rangle)}{(1-\langle \xi, \eta\rangle)(1-\langle \eta, \zeta\rangle)}, \tag{5}$$

and in particular,

$$1 - \langle \varphi_\eta(\xi), \eta\rangle = \frac{1-|\eta|^2}{1-\langle \xi, \eta\rangle}. \tag{6}$$

Finally, an easy computation shows that

$$1 - \langle \varphi_\eta(\xi), \zeta\rangle = \frac{(1-\langle \xi, \varphi_\eta(\zeta)\rangle)(1-\langle \eta, \zeta\rangle)}{1-\langle \xi, \eta\rangle} \tag{7}$$

holds for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{B}$.

The best general reference here is [7, Chapter 2].

The following lemma, usually called Schur's test, is one of the most commonly used results for proving the L^p-boundedness of integral operators. See, for example, [10, Theorem 3.6].

Lemma 2. *Suppose that (X,μ) is a σ-finite measure space and $Q(x,y)$ is a non-negative measurable function on $X\times X$ and T is the associated integral operator*

$$Tf(x)=\int_X Q(x,y)f(y)d\mu(y).$$

Let $1<p<\infty$ and $q=p/(p-1)$. If there exist a positive constant C and a positive measurable function g on X such that

$$\int_X Q(x,y)g(y)^q d\mu(y)\le Cg(x)^q$$

for almost every x in X and

$$\int_X Q(x,y)g(x)^p d\mu(x)\le Cg(y)^p$$

for almost every y in X, then T is bounded on $L^p(X,\mu)$ with $\|T\|\le C$.

3. The proof of Key Lemma

We begin with two lemmas.

Lemma 3. *Suppose that r, $s>0$, $t>-1$ and $r+s-t>n+1$. Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}}\frac{(1-|\xi|^2)^t dV(\xi)}{(1-\langle\eta,\xi\rangle)^s(1-\langle\zeta,\xi\rangle)^{n+1+t-s}(1-\langle\xi,\zeta\rangle)^{n+1+t-r}} \;=\; \frac{C_1(r,s,t)}{4(1-\langle\eta,\zeta\rangle)^{n+1+t-r}}$$

holds for any $\eta\in\mathbb{B}$ and $\zeta\in\mathbb{S}_n$.

Proof. We may further assume that $r+s>2(n+1+t)$; if we prove the lemma in this special case, the general case follows by analytic continuation.

According to [6, Lemma 2.3], the identity

$$\begin{aligned}(8)\qquad &\int_{\mathbb{B}}\frac{(1-|\xi|^2)^t dV(\xi)}{(1-\langle\eta,\xi\rangle)^s(1-\varrho\langle\zeta,\xi\rangle)^{n+1+t-s}(1-\varrho\langle\xi,\zeta\rangle)^{n+1+t-r}}\\ &\quad=\;\frac{\pi^n\Gamma(1+t)}{\Gamma(n+1+t)}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{(s)_j(n+1+t-r)_j}{(n+1+t)_j j!}\\ &\qquad\times\;{}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}n+1+t-s,\,n+1+t-r+j\\ n+1+t+j\end{matrix};\varrho^2\right](\varrho\langle\eta,\zeta\rangle)^j\end{aligned}$$

holds for all $\varrho\in[0,1)$, $\eta\in\mathbb{B}$ and $\zeta\in\mathbb{S}_n$. Note that

$$\big|\text{ the integrand in (8) }\big|\;\le\;\frac{2^{r+s-2(n+1+t)}(1-|\xi|^2)^t}{|1-\langle\eta,\xi\rangle|^s},$$

since $r+s>2(n+1+t)$. Letting $\varrho\to1$, by the dominated convergence theorem and using the well-known formula

$${}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix}a,\,b\\ c\end{matrix};1\right]\;=\;\frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)},\qquad \mathrm{Re}\,(c-a-b)>0,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{(1-|\xi|^2)^t dV(\xi)}{(1-\langle \eta,\xi\rangle)^s (1-\langle \zeta,\xi\rangle)^{n+1+t-s}(1-\langle \xi,\zeta\rangle)^{n+1+t-r}} \\
&\quad = \frac{\pi^n \Gamma(1+t)}{\Gamma(n+1+t)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(s)_j (n+1+t-r)_j}{(n+1+t)_j j!} \\
&\qquad \times {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} n+1+t-s,\, n+1+t-r+j \\ n+1+t+j \end{matrix} ;\, 1 \right] \langle \eta,\zeta\rangle^j \\
&\quad = \frac{\pi^n \Gamma(1+t)\Gamma(r+s-t-n-1)}{\Gamma(r)\Gamma(s)} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+1+t-r)_j}{j!} \langle \eta,\zeta\rangle^j \\
&\quad = \frac{C_1(r,s,t)}{4(1-\langle \eta,\zeta\rangle)^{n+1+t-r}},
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

Lemma 4. *Suppose that r, $s > 0$, $t > -1$ and $r + s - t > n + 1$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
(9) \qquad &\int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^t dV(\omega)}{(1-\langle \eta,\omega\rangle)^r (1-\langle \omega,\zeta\rangle)^s (1+\omega_n)^{n+1+t-s}(1+\overline{\omega}_n)^{n+1+t-r}} \\
&\quad = \frac{C_1(r,s,t)}{4} (1+\eta_n)^{s-n-1-t} (1+\overline{\zeta}_n)^{r-n-1-t} (1-\langle \eta,\zeta\rangle)^{n+1+t-r-s}
\end{aligned}$$

holds for all $\eta \in \mathbb{B}$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{S}_n$.

Proof. We make the change of variables $\omega = \varphi_\eta(\xi)$ in the integral, where φ_η is the Möbius transformation of the unit ball, as defined in Section 2, as well as apply the formulas (6) and (7). After simplification, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{(1-|\omega|^2)^t dV(\omega)}{(1-\langle \eta,\omega\rangle)^r (1-\langle \omega,\zeta\rangle)^s (1+\omega_n)^{n+1+t-s}(1+\overline{\omega}_n)^{n+1+t-r}} \\
&\quad = (1-|\eta|^2)^{n+1+t-r} (1-\langle \eta,\zeta\rangle)^{-s} (1+\eta_n)^{s-n-1-t} (1+\overline{\eta}_n)^{r-n-1-t} \\
&\qquad \times \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{(1-|\xi|^2)^t dV(\xi)}{(1-\langle \xi,\varphi_\eta(\zeta)\rangle)^s (1-\langle \xi,\varphi_\eta(-e_n)\rangle)^{n+1+t-s}(1-\langle \varphi_\eta(-e_n),\xi\rangle)^{n+1+t-r}}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3 and the formula (5), this equals

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1-|\eta|^2)^{n+1+t-r} (1-\langle \eta,\zeta\rangle)^{-s} (1+\eta_n)^{s-n-1-t} (1+\overline{\eta}_n)^{r-n-1-t} \\
&\qquad \times \frac{C_1(r,s,t)}{4} \ (1-\langle \varphi_\eta(-e_n),\varphi_\eta(\zeta)\rangle)^{r-n-1-t} \\
&= (1-|\eta|^2)^{n+1+t-r} (1-\langle \eta,\zeta\rangle)^{-s} (1+\eta_n)^{s-n-1-t} (1+\overline{\eta}_n)^{r-n-1-t} \\
&\qquad \times \frac{C_1(r,s,t)}{4} \ \left\{ \frac{(1-|\eta|^2)(1+\overline{\zeta}_n)}{(1+\overline{\eta})(1-\langle \eta,\zeta\rangle)} \right\}^{r-n-1-t}
\end{aligned}$$

which establishes the formula. □

Now we turn to the proof of Key Lemma.

By the change of variables $w=\Phi(\xi)$ in the integral and using (3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathcal{U}} \frac{\boldsymbol{\rho}(w)^t}{\boldsymbol{\rho}(z,w)^r \rho(w,u)^s} dV(w) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{\boldsymbol{\rho}(\Phi(\xi))^t}{\boldsymbol{\rho}(z,\Phi(\xi))^r \boldsymbol{\rho}(\Phi(\xi),u)^s} \frac{4}{|1+\xi_n|^{2(n+1)}} dV(\xi) \\
&= 4(1+[\Phi^{-1}(z)]_n)^r (1+[\overline{\Phi^{-1}(u)}]_n)^s \\
&\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{(1-|\xi|^2)^t dV(\xi)}{(1-\langle \Phi^{-1}(z),\xi\rangle)^r (1-\langle \xi, \Phi^{-1}(u)\rangle)^s (1+\xi_n)^{n+1+t-s} (1+\bar{\xi}_n)^{n+1+t-r}}.
\end{aligned}$$

In view of (9), this equals

$$\begin{aligned}
&C_1(r,s,t)\ \left(1+[\Phi^{-1}(z)]_n\right)^r \left(1+[\overline{\Phi^{-1}(u)}]_n\right)^s \left(1+[\Phi^{-1}(z)]_n\right)^{s-n-1-t} \\
&\quad \times \left(1+[\overline{\Phi^{-1}(u)}]_n\right)^{r-n-1-t} \left(1-\langle \Phi^{-1}(z), \Phi^{-1}(u)\rangle\right)^{n+1+t-r-s} \\
&= C_1(r,s,t)\ \left\{ \frac{(1+[\Phi^{-1}(z)]_n)(1+[\overline{\Phi^{-1}(u)}]_n)}{1-\langle \Phi^{-1}(z), \Phi^{-1}(u)\rangle} \right\}^{r+s-n-1-t} \\
&= C_1(r,s,t)\, \boldsymbol{\rho}(z,u)^{n+1+t-r-s}
\end{aligned}$$

where we used (3) to obtain the last equality. The proof is complete.

We single out a special case of Key Lemma as the following lemma, which will be used repeatedly.

Lemma 5. *Let $s,t\in\mathbb{R}$. Then we have*

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}} \frac{\boldsymbol{\rho}(w)^t}{|\boldsymbol{\rho}(z,w)|^s} dV(w) \ = \ \begin{cases} \dfrac{C_2(s,t)}{\boldsymbol{\rho}(z)^{s-t-n-1}}, & \text{if } t>-1 \text{ and } s-t>n+1 \\ +\infty, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

for all $z\in\mathcal{U}$, where

$$C_2(s,t) := \frac{4\pi^n \Gamma(1+t)\Gamma(s-t-n-1)}{\Gamma^2(s/2)}.$$

Proof. It remains to show that the integral is finite if and only if $t>-1$ and $s-t>n+1$.

Before proceeding, we recall the definition of the Heisenberg group and some basic facts which can be found in [8, Chapter XII].

We denote by $\mathbb{H}^{n-1}$ the Heisenberg group, that is, the set

$$\mathbb{C}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{R} = \{[\zeta,t] : \zeta\in\mathbb{C}^{n-1}, t\in\mathbb{R}\}$$

endowed with the group operation

$$[\zeta,t]\cdot[\eta,s] = [\zeta+\eta, t+s+2\mathrm{Im}\langle\zeta,\eta\rangle)].$$

To each element $h=[\zeta,t]$ of $\mathbb{H}^{n-1}$, we associate the following (holomorphic) affine self-mapping of $\mathcal{U}$:

$$h:\ (z',z_n)\longmapsto (z'+\zeta, z_n+t+2i\langle z',\zeta\rangle + i|\zeta|^2). \tag{11}$$

It is easy to check that

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}(h(z),h(w))=\boldsymbol{\rho}(z,w) \tag{12}$$

for any $z,w\in\mathcal{U}$ and any $h\in\mathbb{H}^{n-1}$.

For fixed $z\in\mathcal{U}$, we put $h=[-z',-\mathrm{Re}z_n]\in\mathbb{H}^{n-1}$. It is easy to check that $h(z)=\boldsymbol{\rho}(z)\mathbf{i}$, where $\mathbf{i}=(0',i)$, and

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}(h(z),w)=\frac{i}{2}(\overline{w}_n-\boldsymbol{\rho}(z)i)$$

for all $w\in\mathcal{U}$. Using (12) and making the change of variables $w\mapsto h(w)$ in the integral, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\int\limits_{\mathcal{U}}\frac{\boldsymbol{\rho}(w)^t}{|\boldsymbol{\rho}(z,w)|^s}dV(w) &= \int\limits_{\mathcal{U}}\frac{\boldsymbol{\rho}(w)^t}{|\boldsymbol{\rho}(h(z),w)|^s}dV(w)\\ &= 2^s\int\limits_{\mathcal{U}}\frac{(\mathrm{Im}\,w_n-|w'|^2)^t}{|w_n+\boldsymbol{\rho}(z)i|^s}dV(w).\end{aligned}$$

By Fubini's theorem, this equals

$$\begin{aligned}&2^s\int\limits_{\mathrm{Im}\,w_n>0}\frac{1}{|w_n+\boldsymbol{\rho}(z)i|^s}\left\{\int\limits_{|w'|<(\mathrm{Im}\,w_n)^{1/2}}(\mathrm{Im}\,w_n-|w'|^2)^t dm_{2n-2}(w')\right\}dm_2(w_n)\\ &\quad= 2^s\left\{\int\limits_{\mathrm{Im}\,w_n>0}\frac{(\mathrm{Im}\,w_n)^{n-1+t}}{|w_n+\boldsymbol{\rho}(z)i|^s}dm_2(w_n)\right\}\left\{\int\limits_{|w'|<1}(1-|w'|^2)^t dm_{2n-2}(w')\right\},\end{aligned}$$

which is finite if and only if $t>-1$ and $s-(n-1+t)>2$. □

4. The proof of Theorem 1

It is obvious that (ii) implies (i).

To prove (i) implies (iii), let

$$f_{s,t}(z):=\frac{\boldsymbol{\rho}(z)^s}{\boldsymbol{\rho}(z,\mathbf{i})^t},\quad z\in\mathcal{U},$$

where s,t are real parameters satisfy that

$$ps+\alpha>-1\quad\text{and}\quad p(t-s)>n+1+\alpha.$$

It is immediate from Lemma 5 that $f_{s,t}\in L^p(\mathcal{U},dV_\alpha)$ and

$$\|f_{s,t}\|_{p,\alpha}^p=\frac{4\pi^n\Gamma(ps+1+\alpha)\Gamma(pt-ps-n-1-\alpha)}{\Gamma^2(pt/2)}. \tag{13}$$

Next, by Key Lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(Tf_{s,t})(z) &= \boldsymbol{\rho}(z)^a\int\limits_{\mathcal{U}}\frac{\boldsymbol{\rho}(w)^{s+b}}{\boldsymbol{\rho}(z,w)^c\boldsymbol{\rho}(w,\mathbf{i})^t}dV(w)\\ &= C_3(s,t,b,c)\frac{\boldsymbol{\rho}(z)^a}{\boldsymbol{\rho}(z,\mathbf{i})^{t-s+c-b-n-1}},\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where

$$C_3(s,t,b,c):=\frac{4\pi^n\Gamma(s+b+1)\Gamma(t-s+c-b-n-1)}{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(t)}.$$

The boundedness of T on $L^p(\mathcal{U}, dV_\alpha)$ then implies that

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}} \left| \frac{\boldsymbol{\rho}(z)^a}{\boldsymbol{\rho}(z, \mathbf{i})^{t-s+c-b-n-1}} \right|^p \boldsymbol{\rho}(z)^\alpha dV(z) \ < \ +\infty,$$

which in turn implies that

$$pa + \alpha > -1, \tag{15}$$

$$p(t-s) + p(c-a-b-n-1) - n - 1 - \alpha > 0, \tag{16}$$

in view of Lemma 5. Since (16) is valid for all real parameters s, t satisfying $ps+\alpha > -1$ and $p(t-s) > n+1+\alpha$, we have $c-a-b-n-1 \geq 0$.

To prove the inequality $c-a-b-n-1 \leq 0$, we again consider test functions $f_{s,t}$ as above, but this time with the parameter $s > -(1+\alpha)/p$ fixed and the parameter $t \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large. It follows from (14) and Lemma 5 that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tf_{s,t}\|_{p,\alpha}^p \ &= C_3(s,t,b,c)^p \\ &\quad \times \frac{4\pi^n \Gamma(1+pa+\alpha)\Gamma(pt-ps+p(c-a-b-n-1)-n-1-\alpha)}{\Gamma^2(pt/2+p(c-b-n-1-s)/2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (13) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|Tf_{s,t}\|_{p,\alpha}^p}{\|f_{s,t}\|_{p,\alpha}^p} \ &= \frac{\Gamma(1+pa+\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+ps+\alpha)} \left\{ \frac{4\pi^n \Gamma(s+b+1)\Gamma(t-s+c-b-n-1)}{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(t)} \right\}^p \\ &\quad \times \frac{\Gamma(pt-ps+p(c-a-b-n-1)-n-1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(pt-ps-n-1-\alpha)} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(pt/2)}{\Gamma(pt/2+p(c-b-n-1-s)/2)} \right\}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using the well-known formula

$$\frac{\Gamma(t+x)}{\Gamma(t+y)} \ \sim \ t^{x-y} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to +\infty,$$

it follows that

$$\frac{\|Tf_{s,t}\|_{p,\alpha}^p}{\|f_{s,t}\|_{p,\alpha}^p} \ \sim \ t^{p(c-a-b-n-1)}$$

as $t \to +\infty$. This is bounded only when $c-a-b-n-1 \leq 0$.

Having proved that $c = n+1+a+b$ and $-pa < \alpha + 1$, we proceed to show that $\alpha + 1 < p(b+1)$. If $1 < p < \infty$ and $1/p + 1/q = 1$, the boundedness of T on $L^p(\mathcal{U}, dV_\alpha)$ is equivalent to the boundedness of the adjoint of T on $L^q(\mathcal{U}, dV_\alpha)$. It is easy to see that

$$T^* f(z) := \boldsymbol{\rho}(z)^{b-\alpha} \int_{\mathcal{U}} \frac{\boldsymbol{\rho}(w)^{a+\alpha}}{\boldsymbol{\rho}(z,w)^c} f(w) dV(w).$$

Combining this with the conclusion of the previous paragraph, we conclude that

$$\alpha + 1 > -q(b-\alpha),$$

which is equivalent to

$$\alpha + 1 < p(b+1).$$

Similarly, the boundedness of T on $L^1(\mathcal{U}, dV_\alpha)$ implies the boundedness of T^* on $L^\infty(\mathcal{U})$. Note that at this moment, the constant function $\mathbf{1}$ cannot serve as a test function, since $(T^*\mathbf{1})(z) \equiv 0$. Instead, we consider the function

$$f_z(w) := \frac{\boldsymbol{\rho}(z,w)^c}{|\boldsymbol{\rho}(z,w)|^c}, \quad w \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Each f_z is a unit vector in $L^\infty(\mathcal{U})$. Note that

$$(T^* f_z)(z) = \boldsymbol{\rho}(z)^{b-\alpha} \int_{\mathcal{U}} \frac{\boldsymbol{\rho}(w)^{a+\alpha}}{|\boldsymbol{\rho}(z,w)|^c} dV(w),$$

which is bounded if and only if

$$\begin{cases} a + \alpha > -1, \\ c - a - n - 1 - \alpha > 0, \\ c - a - n - 1 - \alpha = b - \alpha, \end{cases}$$

in view of Lemma 5.

The case $p = \infty$ follows by a similar argument. The proof that (i) implies (iii) is complete.

It remains to prove that (iii) implies (ii).

The cases $p = 1$ and $p = \infty$ are direct consequences of Lemma 5.

In the case $1 < p < \infty$, the proof appeals to Schur's test. Let

$$Q(z,w) = \frac{\boldsymbol{\rho}(z)^a \boldsymbol{\rho}(w)^{b-\alpha}}{|\boldsymbol{\rho}(z,w)|^{n+1+a+b}}.$$

and $g(z) = \boldsymbol{\rho}(z)^{-(1+\alpha)/(pq)}$, where $q = p/(p-1)$. Again, it follows from Lemma 5 that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathcal{U}} Q(z,w) g(w)^q \boldsymbol{\rho}(w)^\alpha dV(w) \\ &\qquad = \boldsymbol{\rho}(z)^a \int_{\mathcal{U}} \frac{\boldsymbol{\rho}(w)^{b-(1+\alpha)/p}}{|\boldsymbol{\rho}(z,w)|^{n+1+a+b}} dV(w) \\ &\qquad = \boldsymbol{\rho}(z)^a \, \frac{4\pi^n \Gamma(1+b-(1+\alpha)/p)\Gamma(a+(1+\alpha)/p)}{\Gamma^2((n+1+a+b)/2)} \, \boldsymbol{\rho}(z)^{-a-(1+\alpha)/p} \\ &\qquad = \frac{4\pi^n \Gamma(1+b-(1+\alpha)/p)\Gamma(a+(1+\alpha)/p)}{\Gamma^2((n+1+a+b)/2)} \, g(z)^q \end{aligned}$$

holds for every $z \in \mathcal{U}$. Similarly,

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}} Q(z,w) g(z)^p \boldsymbol{\rho}(z)^\alpha dV(z) \;=\; \frac{4\pi^n \Gamma(1+b-(1+\alpha)/p)\Gamma(a+(1+\alpha)/p)}{\Gamma^2((n+1+a+b)/2)} \, g(w)^p$$

holds for every $w \in \mathcal{U}$. Hence, by Lemma 2, S is bounded on $L^p(\mathcal{U}, dV_\alpha)$ with

$$\|S\| \;\le\; \frac{4\pi^n \Gamma(a+(1+\alpha)/p)\Gamma(1+b-(1+\alpha)/p)}{\Gamma^2((n+1+a+b)/2)}$$

The proof is complete.

5. Applications

We present two examples to illustrate the use of our main result.

In order to state the first example we need to introduce more notation. It is known that the Bergman kernel function K_Ω induces a Riemannian metric on a domain Ω in $\mathbb{C}^n$. The infinitesimal Bergman metric is defined by

$$g_{i,j}^{\Omega}(z) = \frac{1}{n+1}\frac{\partial^2 \log K_\Omega(z,z)}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j}, \quad i,j = 1,2,\dots,n,$$

and the complex matrix

$$B(z) = \left(g_{i,j}^{\Omega}(z)\right)_{1\le i,j\le n}$$

is called the Bergman matrix of Ω. For a C^1 curve $\gamma : [0,1] \to \Omega$, the Bergman length of γ is defined by

$$\ell(\gamma) := \int_0^1 \langle B(\gamma(t))\gamma'(t), \gamma'(t)\rangle dt.$$

If $z, w \in \Omega$, then their Bergman distance is

$$\delta_\Omega(z,w) := \inf\{\ell(\gamma) : \gamma(0) = z, \gamma(1) = w\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all C^1 curves from z to w. If Ω_1, Ω_2 are two domains in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and ψ is a biholomorphic mapping of Ω_1 onto Ω_2, then $\delta_{\Omega_1}(z,w) = \delta_{\Omega_2}(\psi(z),\psi(w))$ for all $z, w \in \Omega_1$. Hence,

$$\delta_{\mathcal{U}}(z,w) = \delta_{\mathbb{B}}(\Phi^{-1}(z), \Phi^{-1}(w)) = \tanh^{-1}\left(\left|\varphi_{\Phi^{-1}(z)}(\Phi^{-1}(w)\right|\right).$$

Furthermore, a computation shows that

$$\delta_{\mathcal{U}}(z,w) = \tanh^{-1}\sqrt{1 - \frac{\boldsymbol{\rho}(z)\boldsymbol{\rho}(w)}{|\boldsymbol{\rho}(z,w)|^2}}. \tag{17}$$

Let a, b and c be real numbers. We consider the operator

$$S_{a,b}^c f(z) := \boldsymbol{\rho}(z)^a \int_{\mathcal{U}} \frac{\boldsymbol{\rho}(w)^b \delta_{\mathcal{U}}(z,w)^c}{|\boldsymbol{\rho}(z,w)|^{n+1+a+b}} f(w) dV(w).$$

It is a modification of the integral operator $S_{a,b,c}$ in Theorem 1, with an extra unbounded factor $\delta(z,w)^c$ in the integrand.

Theorem 6. *Suppose $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \le p < \infty$. If $-pa < \alpha + 1 < p(b+1)$ and $c \ge 0$ then the operator $S_{a,b}^c$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathcal{U}, dV_\alpha)$.*

Proof. Pick $\epsilon > 0$ so small that $-p(a - c\epsilon) < \alpha + 1 < p(b + 1 - c\epsilon)$. Since $\log x < x^\epsilon$ holds for any $x > 0$ and any $\epsilon > 0$, it follows from (17) that

$$\delta_{\mathcal{U}}(z,w) \lesssim \log\frac{4|\boldsymbol{\rho}(z,w)|^2}{\boldsymbol{\rho}(z)\boldsymbol{\rho}(w)} \lesssim 1 + \frac{|\boldsymbol{\rho}(z,w)|^{2\epsilon}}{\boldsymbol{\rho}(z)^\epsilon \boldsymbol{\rho}(w)^\epsilon}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |S_{a,b}^c(f)(z)| &\lesssim |S_{a,b,n+1+a+b}(|f|)(z)| \\ &\quad + \boldsymbol{\rho}(z)^{a-c\epsilon} \int_{\mathcal{U}} \frac{\boldsymbol{\rho}(w)^{b-c\epsilon}}{|\boldsymbol{\rho}(z,w)|^{n+1+a+b-2c\epsilon}} |f(w)| dV(w) \\ &= |S_{a,b,n+1+a+b}(|f|)(z)| + |S_{\tilde{a},\tilde{b},n+1+\tilde{a}+\tilde{b}}(|f|)(z)|, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{a} = a - c\epsilon$ and $\tilde{b} = b - c\epsilon$. The desired result then follows from Theorem 1. □

We denote by $A^p_\alpha(\mathcal{U})$ the Bergman space, that is, the closed subspace of $L^p(\mathcal{U}, \boldsymbol{\rho}^\alpha)$ consisting of holomorphic functions on $\mathcal{U}$. As usual, we write $\partial_n := \partial/(\partial z_n)$.

Theorem 7. *Suppose $1 < p < \infty$ and $\alpha > 1/p - 1$. Then for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, the map $f \mapsto \boldsymbol{\rho}^N \partial_n^N f$ is a bounded linear operator from $A^p_\alpha(\mathcal{U})$ into $L^p(\mathcal{U}, \boldsymbol{\rho}^\alpha dV)$.*

Proof. According to [2, Theorem 2.1], if $f \in A^p_\alpha(\mathcal{U})$ with p and α satisfying the assumption of the theorem, then

$$f(z) = c_\alpha \int_{\mathcal{U}} f(w) \frac{\boldsymbol{\rho}(w)^\alpha}{\boldsymbol{\rho}(z,w)^{n+1+\alpha}} dV(w),$$

where

$$c_\alpha := \frac{\Gamma(n+1+\alpha)}{4\pi^n \Gamma(1+\alpha)}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\boldsymbol{\rho}(z)^N \partial_n^N f(z)\right| &\lesssim \boldsymbol{\rho}(z)^N \int_{\mathcal{U}} |f(w)| \frac{\boldsymbol{\rho}(w)^\alpha}{|\boldsymbol{\rho}(z,w)|^{n+1+\alpha+N}} dV(w) \\ &= S_{N,\alpha,n+1+\alpha+N}(|f|)(z). \end{aligned}$$

Now, the theorem follows immediately from Theorem 1. □

References

[1] R. R. Coifman and R. Rochberg, Representation theorem for holomorphic and harmonic functions in L^p, pp. 12-66, *Astérisque*, 77, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1980.

[2] M. M. Djrbashian and A. H. Karapetyan, Integral representations for some classes of functions holomorphic in a Siegel domain, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 179 (1993), 91–109.

[3] S. G. Krantz, Function theory of several complex variables. Reprint of the 1992 edition. AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2001.

[4] S. G. Krantz, Explorations in harmonic analysis: with applications to complex function theory and the Heisenberg group. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2009.

[5] O. Kures and K. Zhu, A class of integral operators on the unit ball of C^n, *Integral Equations and Operator Theory* 56 (2006), 71–82.

[6] C. Liu, Sharp Forelli-Rudin estimates and the norm of the Bergman projection, *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 268 (2015), 255–277.

[7] W. Rudin, Function Theory in the Unit Ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$, Reprint of the 1980 Edition, Springer, Berlin 2008.

[8] E. M. Stein, Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.

[9] K. Zhu, Spaces of Holomorphic Functions in the Unit Ball, Graduate Texts in Math., vol. 226, Springer, New York 2005.

[10] K. Zhu, Operator theory in function spaces. Second edition. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 138. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007.

School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, People's Republic of China., and, Wu Wen-Tsun Key Laboratory of Mathematics, USTC, Chinese Academy of Sciences
E-mail address: cwliu@ustc.edu.cn

School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, People's Republic of China.
E-mail address: ly0717@mail.ustc.edu.cn

Colledge of Mathematics and Statistics, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen, Guangdong 518060, People's Republic of China.
E-mail address: pyhu@szu.edu.cn

Department of Mathematics, Huzhou University, Huzhou, Zhejiang 313000, Peoples Republic of China
E-mail address: lfzhou@zjhu.edu.cn